AD-A236 218

Final Report

November 1989

EVT 4-90

ENGINEERING TESTS

OF

SINGLE 105MM AMMUNITION WOOD BOX

DESTRUCTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public miss Distribution Unlimited

Distribution Unlimited

Prepared For:

U.S. Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center

ATTN: SMCAR-ESK

Rock Island, IL 61299-7300

91-00965

029

91 5 31

US ARMY **MUNITIONS**

CHEMICAL COMMAND

US ARMY DEFENSE AMMUNITION CENTER AND SCHOOL

EVALUATION DIVISION SAVANNA, ILLINOIS 61074-9639

AVAILABILITY NOTICE

A complimentary copy of this report is furnished each attendee on automatic distribution. Additional copies or authority for reprinting may be obtained by written request from Director, U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center and School, ATTN: SMCAC-DEV, Savanna, IL 61074-9639.

DISTRIBUTION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return.

* * *

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement.

* * *

The information contained herein will not be used for advertising purposes.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	16. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS				
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT				
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		UNLIMITED				
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)				
EVT 4-90						
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center and School	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) SMCAC-DEV	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION				
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) ATTN: SMCAC-DEV Savanna, IL 61074-9639		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)				
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Armament (If applicable) Research. Development and		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	SMCAR-ESK	10 SOURCE OF	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS			
ATTN: SMCAR-ESK		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.		TASK NO.	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.	
Rock Island, IL 61299-7300						
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Engineering Tests of Single 105mm Ammunition Wood Box						
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)						
Jason Solberg						
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED FINAL FROM TO		4. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 1989 November 10				
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION						
17. COSATI CODES	Continue on reven	se if necessary and	identify i	by block number)		
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	4					
	┨					
U.S. Army Defense Ammuniti (SMCAC-DEV) was tasked by the Center (ARDEC), SMCAR-ESK, to Ammunition Wood Box. This rep the tests conducted.	on Center and Sc U.S. Army Armame conduct compress ort contains the	hool (USADAC nt Research, ion and drop procedures,	Development tests on a S results and	and E Single concl	ngineering 105mm	
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT JUNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS				21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL THOMAS J. MICHELS, Chief, Eva	luation Division				FFICE SYMBOL SMCAC-DEV	

DD Form 1473, JUN 86

Previous editions are obsolete

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

U.S. ARMY DEFENSE AMMUNITION CENTER AND SCHOOL Evaluation Division Savanna, IL 61074-9639

REPORT NO. EVT 4-90

ENGINEERING TESTS

OF

SINGLE 105MM AMMUNITION WOOD BOX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART	P.	AGE NO.
1.	INTRODUCTION	. 1-1
	A. Background	. 1-1
	B. Authority	. 1-1
	C. Objective	. 1-1
2.	ATTENDEES	. 2-1
3.	TEST PROCEDURES	. 3-1
4.	TEST EQUIPMENT	. 4-1
5.	TEST RESULTS	. 5-1
6.	CONCLUSIONS	. 6-1



Acces	sion For	
NTIS	GRANI	U
DTIC !	TAB	
Unann	bearwo	
Just1:	fication	
By Distr	ibutfon/	
Avai	lability	Codes
	Avail an	d/or
Dist	Specia	1
A-1		

INTRODUCTION

- A. <u>BACKGROUND</u>. The U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center and School (USADACS), Evaluation Division, was tasked by the U.S. Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center (ARDEC), SMCAR-ESK, to test a single 105mm Ammunition Wood Box.
- B. <u>AUTHORITY</u>. This test was conducted in accordance with mission responsibilities delegated by ARDEC, Rock Island, IL.
- C. <u>OBJECTIVE</u>. The objective of this series of tests was to assess the strength of the 105mm Ammunition Wood Box and provide ARDEC, SMCAR-ESK, with documentary photographs.

ATTENDEES

Jason B. Solberg Test Engineer AV 585-8079 Comm. (815) 273-8079

Mark Rehmstedt AV 793-8206 Director
U.S. Army Defense Ammunition
Center and School
ATTN: SMCAC-DEV
Savanna, IL 61074-9639

Commander
U.S. Army Armament Research,
Development and Engineering Center
ATTN: SMCAR-ESK
Rock Island, IL 61299-7300

TEST PROCEDURES

- A. STACKING TEST. The single wood box shall be loaded to simulate a stack of identical unit loads stacked 16-feet high, for a period of one hour. This stacking load is simulated by subjecting the box to a compression of weight equal to an equivalent 16-foot stacking height. The compression load is calculated in the following manner. The box weight is divided by the box height in inches and multiplied by 192. The resulting number is the equivalent compressive load of a 16-foot-high stack.
- B. <u>DROP TEST</u>. Five free fall drop tests were done from four feet high by specifications of ARDEC, SMCAR-ESK. The sequence of drops were done as follows: bottom face, top face, front face, right side face, then left rear edge.

TEST EQUIPMENT

A. TEST SPECIMEN.

a. Type: 105mm ammunition wood box

b. Length: 43.75 inches

c. Width: 14.25 inches

d. Height: 9.5 inches

. Weight: 143 pounds

B. COMPRESSION TESTER.

a. Manufacturer: Ormond Manufacturing

b. Platform: 60 inches by 60 inches

c. Compression Limit: 50,000 pounds

d. Tension Limit: 50,000 pounds

TEST RESULTS

- A. STACKING TEST. The box was loaded to 3,010 pounds compression initially, and had decreased to 2,710 pounds after 20 minutes. The load was then brought back up to 3,010 pounds and after 11 minutes had decreased to 2,900 pounds. Finally, the load was brought back up to 3,070 pounds and at the end of the test had decreased to 2,970 pounds. No damage was noted.
- B. <u>DROP TEST</u>. The box was initially dropped four feet onto its bottom front edge by pushing it off of the fork times of the forklift truck. This test resulted in failure. To better simulate expected conditions, a new box was then loaded with the same inert load and banded. This box was dropped from the same height with a quick release mechanism. The drops were done on the bottom, top, front and right side surfaces as well as the left rear edge. The face drops showed no damage; however, the edge drop caused some disengagement of board fasteners.

CONCLUSIONS

A. <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>. There were no problems during the compression test. The first drop test was not considered valid; however, after use of the quick release mechanism the box passed the series of drop tests. Also, note that the box was banded with 3/4° steel strapping, as required by the appropriate packaging drawing.